## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| 1 | Application No. | Applicant(s) HAMMANN, JERALD A. |  |
|---|-----------------|---------------------------------|--|
|   | 09/840,332      |                                 |  |
|   | Examiner        | Art Unit                        |  |
|   | BETH VAN DOREN  | 3623                            |  |

|  | BETH VAN DOREN  | 3623                        |                |  |  |
|--|---|-----------------------------|----------------|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe  | ars on the cover sheet with the o   | orrespondence add           | ress           |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 23 April 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI   | ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AI   | LOWANCE.                    |                |  |  |
|  |   |                             |                |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires 4_months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ai no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I)  | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i<br>ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | date of the final rejection | on.            |  |  |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f   | ).  |                             |                |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office latest than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL  |   |                             |                |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.37 must be filed within two months of the date<br/>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Sin<br/>Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).</li> </ol>  |   |                             |                |  |  |
| AMENDMENTS  3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but the proposed amendment is a final rejection.   | ut prior to the date of filing a brief  | will not be entered be      | rause          |  |  |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor   | sideration and/or search (see NOT   |                             | cuuse          |  |  |
| <ul> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett<br/>appeal; and/or</li> </ul>  | er form for appeal by materially rec  | lucing or simplifying the   | ne issues for  |  |  |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c<br>NOTE: . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).   | orresponding number of finally reje   | cted claims.                |                |  |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12   | See attached Notice of Non-Cor  | mpliant Amendment (I        | PTOI -324)     |  |  |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):   |   | ripilane / unonamone (i     | 102 024).      |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the<br/>non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>   |   |                             |                |  |  |
| <ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [     how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proving the company of the compa</li></ol> |   | be entered and an ex        | xplanation of  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:<br>Claim(s) allowed:  |   |                             |                |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:  |   |                             |                |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: 31-40.  |   |                             |                |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |   |                             |                |  |  |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  |   |                             |                |  |  |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.   | ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea  | l and/or appellant fail:    | s to provide a |  |  |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER   | of the status of the claims after er  | ntry is below or attach     | ed.            |  |  |
| 11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but  | does NOT place the application in   | condition for allowan       | ce because:    |  |  |
| see attached.  12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (   | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  |                             |                |  |  |
| 13. Other:   |   |                             |                |  |  |
|  | /Beth Van Doren/  |                             |                |  |  |
|  | Primary Examiner, Art U   | nit 3623                    |                |  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)